Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-17) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

### **Drawings**

- 2. FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "B" has been used to designate both a sensor TFT area and phosphor area. Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 thru 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Rosenthal et al. '787. Applicant's admitted prior art

Application/Control Number: 09/487,173

Art Unit: 2815

discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2) a semiconductor device comprising a switch SW1, light source 8, sensing part (sensor) 6, electrical power source 4, and controller 2. The admitted prior art does not a conductive object detection pattern. However, Rosenthal discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2B) a device wherein two flanges (conductive object detection pattern) 110 detect the presence of a finger F. The presence of finger F provides a short circuit through the flanges and allows a current to go to the controller 150. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the switch of the applicant's admitted prior art with the two flanges of Rosenthal in order to be able to detect the presence of fingers in a semiconductor device and conduct a signal to the controller.

# INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/487,173

Art Unit: 2815

Eugene Lee May 4, 2002

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,173	01/19/2000		Youn Gyoung Chang	8733.20039	2863	
30827	7590	05/08/2002				
		& NORMAN, LL	EXAMINER			
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W. SUITE 600				LEE, EUGENE		
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
			·	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1c				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/487,173	CHANG ET AL.				
_	Examiner	Art Unit				
e .	Eugene Lee	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	anuary 2000 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2000 is/are o	objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents i		n No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)						
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	19) Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				